# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

### FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

2

1

כ

4 5 In the Matter of:

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25 26

27

28

Holder of License No. 3520
for the Practice of Psychology
in the State of Arizona

On May 4, 2012, EUGENE CHERRY, P
Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") for

Case No. 11-01

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

On May 4, 2012, EUGENE CHERRY, Ph.D. ("Licensee"), appeared before the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") for an Informal Interview with his counsel, Larry J. Cohen. The proceedings in this matter are governed by A.R.S. §§ 32-2081 and 32-2082. Having heard the testimony of the Licensee, arguments from Mr. Cohen, having read and considered the documents contained in the complaint file (RFI 11-01), and having deliberated fully, the Board adopted the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order on May 4, 2012.

# **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Licensee received sufficient and timely notice of the Informal Interview.
- 2. The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq.
- 3. Licensee is the holder of license number 3520 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 4. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Licensee pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 5. This case arises out of a custody proceeding involving the biological parents of a minor child. The Complainant is the biological father (Father).
- 6. Dr. Cherry was a limited partner in the business "Family Legacy and Children Services" (Legacy). The other partners in the business were Ciara and Michael Coultrap. According to Dr. Cherry, he was responsible for the supervision of all clinical activities at Legacy. On March 15, 2011, Dr. Cherry became a consultant to Legacy on a contractual basis.

In a letter to the Board and in testimony offered at the May 4, 2012 Informal Interview, Dr. Cherry indicated that he recently ended his professional relationship with Legacy.

- 7. According to Dr. Cherry, his role at Legacy was to provide advice on how to optimize healthy visitations between parents and children who were subject to court ordered supervised visits.
- 8. In Complainant's divorce proceedings, the Court appointed Legacy to supervise the Father's visits with the minor child. Ciara Coultrap referred the case to Dr. Cherry, who agreed to act as the Parenting Time Supervisor.
- 9. During the custody proceedings, the Complainant requested the Court to order an Independent Medical Evaluation (IME) of his wife (Mother), who is the biological mother of the minor child. Before the Court ruled on the issue, the Mother's legal counsel asked the Coultraps to request that Dr. Cherry observe the Mother to determine if an IME was warranted. After Dr. Cherry's observations of Mother, the mother's counsel asked that Dr. Cherry perform the evaluation of Mother (through the Coultraps). With Mother's consent, Dr. Cherry interviewed Mother and performed diagnostic tests. Dr. Cherry's assessment included an interview that lasted two hours. In addition, Mother took an MMPI-2, which, according to Dr. Cherry, took seven hours because Mother was also taking care of her child at the same time she was trying to complete the exam. Dr. Cherry wrote a report indicating that he did not observe any behaviors or statements that would warrant an IME of the Mother.
- 10. The testing procedures were not in accordance with the MMPI-2 testing protocol. Dr. Cherry failed to acknowledge in his report that there were deviations from protocol, and without first referencing the protocols specifically for custody evaluations. This report was provided to the Mother's legal counsel, who submitted the report to the judge in the divorce proceedings. The Court utilized statements made in Dr. Cherry's report in the custody recommendation.
- 11. On September 20, 2010, the Coultraps and Dr. Cherry testified at a divorce proceeding involving the Mother and Father. In response to questions posed by the judge, Dr. Cherry made statements regarding his concerns about the mental health of the Complainant/Father without having consulted with or evaluating Father, and without obtaining Father's informed consent. At the conclusion of the hearing, Complainant's supervised

parenting time was suspended and Mother was granted sole custody.

- 12. On or about October 20, 2010, Dr. Cherry advised Ciara Coultrap to prepare an application for Emergency Admission of Complainant/Father to Urgent Psychiatric Care. Dr. Cherry signed the application based on information provided by the Coultraps, and without ever formally assessing or evaluating Complainant/Father. The Court ordered the Complainant to be admitted to an urgent psychiatric facility for a 72-hour involuntary commitment based on information provided in the application, including the assertion that Complainant was a "danger to others."
- 13. On October 20, 2010, Legacy was relieved of its appointment as supervisor for Complainant's parenting time by the Court, and Complainant's supervised parenting time was restored.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 14. The conduct and circumstances described above and as set forth in the Findings of Fact constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061(13)(e) gross negligence in the practice of psychology by providing information to the courts about a person whom Dr. Cherry did not assess or evaluate, by failing to adequately screen qualifications of Legacy employees and thereafter failing to take appropriate actions regarding the activities of Legacy employees under his supervision, and by continuing to work with Ciara and Michael Coultrap when serious professional and ethical issues became apparent.
- 15. The conduct and circumstances described above and as set forth in the Findings of Fact constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2061(13)(o) providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice by Dr. Cherry's failure to maintain and retain adequate business records, by his signing of documents submitted to the court without first reviewing them, for making recommendations to the court without qualifications, and for offering a diagnosis absent any personal observations, interviews, assessments, or evaluations.
- 16. The conduct and circumstances described above and as set forth in the Findings of Fact constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061(13)(dd) Violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board, by engaging in Multiple Relationships pursuant to American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct

§3.05 (2003) (hereinafter APA Code) by Dr. Cherry serving as both a parent advisor and then providing an Independent Medical Evaluation for the Mother, Conflict of Interest pursuant to APA Code § 3.06 by Dr. Cherry acting as clinical therapist and director of Legacy simultaneously, failing to provide Informed Consent pursuant to APA Code § 3.10 for not providing an informed consent form signed by the client as part of the evaluation, Psychological Services Delivered to or Through Organizations pursuant to APA Code § 3.11 by not notifying clients of the services provided and the relationship of each individual within the organization, and Use of Assessments pursuant to APA Code § 9.02 by not following accepted protocol when deviating from standard assessment practices.

# ORDER FOR PROBATION, PRACTICE MONITOR, CONTINUING EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2081(Q) the Board has determined that the Licensee's conduct in RFI No. 11-01 warrants disciplinary action. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 17. **PROBATION:** Licensee's license as a Psychologist is placed on probation for a minimum period of twelve months from the effective date of this Order. The effective date of this Order is 35 days after the date it is mailed to the Licensee.
- 18. **PRACTICE MONITOR:** During the period of probation, Licensee shall obtain a Practice Monitor to assist him in understanding the issues surrounding multiple relationships and forensic psychology. Within 15 days of the effective date of this Order the Licensee shall choose a psychologist from the following list to serve as his Practice Monitor:
  - David McPhee, Ph.D.
     5320 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 207
     Phoenix, AZ 85016
     602-374-5345
  - John Moran, Ph.D.
     1730 E. Northern Avenue, Suite 112
     Phoenix, AZ 85020
     602-795-4449
  - Brian Yee, Ph.D.
     7220 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Building K
     Phoenix, AZ 85020
     602-943-0447

The Licensee shall meet with the Practice Monitor face-to-face at least twice each month for at least two hours per session for the full twelve months of his probationary period. The Practice Monitor shall submit to the Board quarterly reports of the meetings to include topics covered and any modifications made to the Licensee's practice. [A.R.S. §32-2081]. The Practice Monitor shall provide reports to the Board 30 days after the end of each quarter. The first quarter shall begin on the effective date of this Order. At the conclusion of twelve months, the Practice Monitor is to complete a final written report to the Board summarizing his/her interaction with Dr. Cherry, topics discussed, areas of progress, matters of remaining concern and overall impressions. The final report shall be submitted to the Board within 30 days of the end of the last quarter of the term of probation. Licensee shall present this Order to the Board approved Practice Monitor prior to the date of the first meeting. The first meeting between Licensee and his Practice Monitor shall occur within 30 days of the effective date of this Order. At the end of twelve months, the Licensee must petition the Board in writing and request that he be released from Probation.

- 19. <u>CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIREMENT</u>: Licensee shall complete12 hours of continuing education as follows:
  - a) Six hours in the area of ethics, to be attended in-person, and
  - b) Six hours of ethics specifically in the area of forensic psychology (may be completed through distance education).

The Licensee shall submit the proposed continuing education courses to the Board's Executive Director for approval prior to taking a course. These 12 hours of Continuing Education may not be used toward the 60 hours required for license renewal and shall be completed within 6 months of this Order, unless no in-person ethics Continuing Education Course is available, at which time Licensee shall request an extension from the Board. Within two weeks of completion of the additional continuing education, Licensee shall provide the Board with verification of completion of these hours. [R4-26-207(G)].

20. <u>SPECIALTY GUIDELINES FOR FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY:</u> In addition, Licensee must obtain, review, and study the most recent edition of the Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychology.

- 21. <u>APPEARANCE BEFORE THE BOARD:</u> The Licensee shall appear before the Board for questioning regarding Licensee's knowledge of ethics and forensic practice in psychology. This appearance shall be after the completion of required Continuing Education coursework, and upon Licensee's review of the APA Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychology. The Licensee shall notify the Board in writing upon completion of the ethics courses and self-study of the Specialty Guidelines in Forensic Psychology and the Board will subsequently schedule Dr. Cherry's personal appearance.
- 22. <u>COMMUNITY SERVICE:</u> Licensee shall prepare and present a Community Service Plan (Plan) to the Board within 30 days of the effective date of this Order. Upon approval by the Board or its Executive Director, the Licensee shall serve community service pursuant to the Plan. The Plan shall include a minimum of 40 hours of community service and designate all organizations/entities where the community service will be served. The Plan shall provide that the community service shall be completed within six months of the effective date of this order.
- 23. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of this Order is 35 days after the date that a copy, signed by the Board's Executive Director, or her designee, on behalf of the Board, is mailed to the Licensee.
- 24. **CONSIDERATION IN FUTURE ACTIONS:** Licensee understands that this Order, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 25. **FINAL RESOLUTION:** This Order constitutes a final resolution of this disciplinary matter but does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigations, actions or proceedings. Further, this Order does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Order.
  - 26. <u>TIME:</u> Time is of the essence with regard to this Order.
- 27. <u>COSTS:</u> The Licensee shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of his compliance with this Order.

- 28. **NON-COMPLIANCE:** If Licensee fails to comply with the terms of this Order, the Board shall properly institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Order, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary and/or remedial actions. Violation of this Order is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(13)(aa), which is "violating a formal board order, Order, term of probation or stipulated agreement."
- 29. **PUBLIC RECORD:** This Order is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board.
- 30. <u>RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW:</u> Licensee is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, the petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within 30 days after service of this Order. Pursuant to A.A.C. R4-25-308, the petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing. Service of this Order is effective five days after date of mailing. If a motion for rehearing is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective 35 days after it is mailed to the Licensee.

Licensee is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

Dated this  $7^{+4}$  day of June, 2012.

ARIZONA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

By: Cividy Olvey Pay:D

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D Executive Director

//////// ////////

Aures	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this
2	77 day of June, 2012, with:
3	The Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners
4	1400 West Washington, Suite 235
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	CODY State Consider the Confident Mail
7	COPY of the foregoing mailed by Certified Mail
8	this 7th day of Jun 2012, to:
9	
10	Eugene S. Cherry, Ph.D. Address of Record
11	
12	Copy of the foregoing mailed this
13	74 day of June 2012, to:
14	Larry J. Cohen
	The Cohen Law Firm
15	P.O. Box 10056 Phoenix, AZ 85064
16	
17	Copy of the foregoing mailed by interagency mail this
18	7 <sup>34</sup> day of <u>One</u> 2012, to:
19	Jeanne Galvin, Esq.
20	Office of the Attorney General 1275 West Washington, CIV/LES
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
22	By Megan Martin
23	The same of the sa
24	
25	
26	
27	